



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/843,718

04/30/2001

Juha-Pekka Sipponen

004770.00671

9439

22907

7590

08/25/2006

BANNER & WITCOFF

1001 G STREET N W

SUITE 1100

WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER

HAQ, NAEEM U

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3625

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/843,718

Applicant(s)

SIPPONEN, JUHA-PEKKA

Examiner

Naeem Haq

Art Unit

3625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 18-20, 33, 56, 66, 67, 69-71, 84, 107, 116 and 120-123 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-20, 33, 56, 66, 67, 69-71, 84, 107, 116, and 120-123 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the Applicant's amendment filed June 23, 2006.

Claims 18-20, 33, 56, 66, 67, 69-71, 84, 107, 116, and 120-123 are pending and will be considered for examination.

Applicant's amendment to claim 18 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. This rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 18-19, 33, 56, 66, 67, 69, 84, 107, 116, 120, and 122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Block (US Publication 2002/0010604 A1) in view of Walker et al. (US 5,794,207) ("Walker") and further in view of LaBrie et al. (US 2002/0047861 A1) ("LaBrie") and Lapstun et al. (US 6,728,000 B1) ("Lapstun").

Referring to claim 18: Block discloses a method of electronically purchasing tickets comprising:

- using a mobile personal trusted device of a purchaser which is in wireless communication with a server of a provider of the tickets to select a ticket to be purchased (paragraphs [0027], [0667], and claim 1);

Art Unit: 3625

- using the mobile personal trusted device to communicate with the server which verifies a purchase of a selected ticket and authenticity of the purchaser (paragraphs [0028], [0044], [0046], and claim 1: "...issuing said member either one of a written or oral confirmation of all travel plan arrangements made; and saving the confirmation in said system for at least the period of time said member will be traveling, for permitting by said member at any time during the travel period.");
- saving in a memory information regarding the purchase from which the purchased ticket is output (paragraphs [0668] and [0669]).

Block does not teach signing with the server a contract representing purchase of the ticket by the purchaser. However, Walker teaches a method and system for binding a buyer to an electronic contract based on the buyer's purchase offer (Abstract; col. 1, lines 10-12; col. 8, line 28 – col. 9, line 30; col. 16, line 12 – col. 17, line 7; col. 18, line 56 – col. 20, line 4). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of Walker into the invention of Block. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to allow a seller to be able to collect funds immediately upon the acceptance of the buyer's terms, as taught by Walker (col. 7, lines 39-42). The cited prior art does not teach that the purchased ticket is output by an authorized device selected by the mobile personal trusted device. The Examiner notes that the Applicant's specification discloses that the limitation "selected by the mobile personal trusted device" means that the user of the personal trusted device selects a output device (see specification page 7, lines 3-7: "*The user gives the reference number of the desired self-service printing device (that is selected to print out the ticket) and the ticket identification (or selects it from an offered list from the user*

Art Unit: 3625

interface) at point 408, which are user signed at point 410 by the PTD 28 1 before sending the printing request message to the TSP.”) LaBrie teaches a system and method that allows a user of a mobile personal trusted device (paragraph [0048]) to reserve (paragraphs [0070]-[0072]) and print out a ticket from a user selected printer at an authorized access point (paragraph [0075]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of LaBrie into the cited prior art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to allow a user the option of obtaining the tickets, as taught by LaBrie (paragraph [0075]). The cited prior art does not teach that authorization of the output device is determined by the server of the provider. However, Lapstun teaches a system and method wherein the authorization of the output device is determined by the server of the provider (column 2, lines 48-51; column 15, lines 58-63; column 33, lines 22-40). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of Lapstun into the cited prior art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to have a secure transmission between the output device and the server, as taught by Lapstun (col. 33, lines 13-20).

Referring to claim 19: The cited prior art teaches or suggests all the limitations of claim 18 as noted above. Furthermore, Block teaches that the authorized device is an authorized printing device, and the purchaser communicates with the provider of the tickets to print the ticket with the authorized printing device (paragraphs [0667]-[0669]).

Art Unit: 3625

Referring to claim 20: The cited prior art teaches or suggests all the limitations of claim 19 as noted above. Furthermore, Block teaches that the authorized printing device is connected to the server by way of a network connection (Figure 1, items "2", "11", and "12").

Referring to claims 33, 56, 66, 67, 69, 84, 107, 116, 120, and 122: Claims 33, 56, 69, 84, 107, 116, 120, and 122 are rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 18.

Referring to claim 70: Claim 70 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 19.

Referring to claim 71: Claim 71 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 20.

Claims 121 and 123 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Block (US Publication 2002/0010604 A1) in view of Walker et al. (US 5,794,207) ("Walker") and further in view of LaBrie et al. (US 2002/0047861 A1) ("LaBrie") and Lapstun et al. (US 6,728,000 B1) ("Lapstun") and further in view of Official Notice.

Referring to claim 121: The cited prior art teaches or suggest all of the limitations of claim 120 as noted above. The cited prior art does not teach that the printer, after printing the purchased ticket, acknowledges the printing of the ticket to the server of the provider of the tickets. However, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the art to for a printer to acknowledge the printing of a document to a server. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention

Art Unit: 3625

was made, to incorporate this feature into the invention of the cited prior art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the server to free up the memory resources that had been reserved for the print job.

Referring to claim 123: Claim 123 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 121.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naeem Haq whose telephone number is (571)-272-6758. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571)-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Naeem Haq, Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3625

August 18, 2006